Endogenous retrovirus expression activates type-I interferon signaling in an experimental mouse model of mesothelioma development
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ABSTRACT
Early events in an experimental model of mesothelioma development include increased levels of editing in double-stranded RNA (dsRNA). We hypothesised that expression of endogenous retroviruses (ERV) contributes to dsRNA formation and type-I interferon signaling. ERV and interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) expression were significantly higher in tumor compared to non-tumor samples. 12 tumor specific ERV ("MesoERV1-12") were identified and verified by qPCR in mouse tissues. “MesoERV1-12” expression was lower in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) compared to mesothelioma cells. “MesoERV1-12” levels were significantly increased by demethylating agent 5-Aza-2′-deoxycytidine treatment and were accompanied by increased levels of dsRNA and ISGs. Basal ISGs expression was higher in mesothelioma cells compared to MEF and was significantly decreased by JAK inhibitor Ruxolitinib, by blocking Ifnar1 and by silencing Mavs. “MesoERV7” promoter was demethylated in asbestos-exposed compared to sham mice tissue as well as in mesothelioma cells and MEF upon 5-Aza-CdR treatment.

These observations uncover novel aspects of asbestos-induced mesothelioma whereby ERV expression increases due to promoter demethylation and is paralleled by increased levels of dsRNA and activation of type-I IFN signaling. These features are important for early diagnosis and therapy.

1. Introduction
Malignant mesothelioma (reviewed in Ref. [1]) is a rapidly fatal and highly resilient tumour arising in the thin layer of tissue known as the mesothelium, which has mesodermal origins and covers many of the important internal organs like the lungs (pleural mesothelioma), peritoneal cavities (peritoneal mesothelioma), the sacs surrounding the heart (pericardial mesothelioma) and the testis (tunica vaginalis mesothelioma). Although mesothelioma is a rare cancer, its incidence is still rising; hence research aimed at better understanding of the biology of this cancer type (reviewed in Ref. [3]).

Mesothelioma is the sixth of 31 cancer types with most prevalent 38-interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) signature [4] and, in a large fraction of ISG-high tumors, no immune cells, possibly contributing to the phenotype, have been detected, indicating spontaneous IFN production by cancer cells per se. This is consistent with a recent study that has shown that primary mesothelioma cells maintain the activation of the type-I IFN signaling pathway [5]. Importantly, in the context of mesothelioma, type-I IFN signature is linked to both, clinical outcome and specific driver mutations [6]. A recent large-scale study has comprehensively characterized most genetic alterations and four distinct molecular profiles in malignant pleural mesothelioma, which have been called epithelioid (which actually include mostly only pure epithelioid histotype), biphase-epithelioid, biphase-sarcomatoid and sarcomatoid [7]. It extends the histopathological classification separating epithelioid, sarcomatoid and biphase of mesothelioma (reviewed in Ref. [1]). Based on the mRNA expression profile, tumors are clustered into four
groups in a parallel study performed by The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) consortium [6]. Pathway enriched analysis of genes expressed in the clusters revealed, among others, enrichment of recombative antiviral mechanism by ISGs in one of the clusters, and this is confirmed in the epithelioid group of Bueno et al. [7]. Patients with this profile have a better clinical outcome [8].

We recently observed in an experimental animal model of asbestos-induced mesothelioma development [9], that exposure to crocidolite (blue asbestos) increased the levels of RNA mutations and the most abundant changes were A to G mutations, likely resulting from hydrolytic deamination of adenosine downstream of adenosine-deaminase acting on double strand (ds)RNA (Adar) activity [10]. Adenosine deamination produces inosine, which is detected as guanosine in RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq). dsRNAs, like other nucleic acids, are part of the signals recognized by patterns recognition receptor family which are able to activate innate immunity via the production of type-I IFN [11]. The enzymes carrying out adenosine deamination destabilize dsRNA structures, thereby acting as negative feedback regulators. The nature of the edited endogenous dsRNA has been investigated in several studies (reviewed in Ref. [12]). In mouse normal monocytes or tissues, 32–73% of all editing events occurs in short-interspersed nuclear elements (SINE) and 9–27% in long terminal repeat (LTR)-retrotransposons while in human normal monocytes or tissues, 27% in LTR-retrotransposons while in human normal monocytes or tissues, 42% and 37% of the genome in transcription-promoting LTRs [13]. Altogether, SINE and retroviral protein open reading frames (ORF), flanked by LTR-retrotransposons regions cover 42% and 37% of the genome in human and mice, respectively. Taking into account that 70% of the genome is transcribed and only 2% of the genome encodes for proteins, this may explain the reason why the vast majority of editing sites in human and primates are in inverted repeat SINE (Alu elements in human) and ERV which forms stable dsRNA structures, and are largely in non-coding regions of the genome (reviewed in Ref. [12]). The abundance of the targets render them attractive to investigate because it may result in increased sensitivity.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether in asbestos-induced mesothelioma there is an increased expression of certain ERV able to form dsRNA structure that contribute to stimulating type-I IFN signature.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Cell culture, drug or blocking antibodies treatments, and RNA interference

MEF (Sigma Aldrich or kind gift of W. Krek, ETHZ) were cultured in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% l-Glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. RN5 mesothelioma [9,14, AK7 (initially generated by Kane [15], kind gift of Prof. Jean Bignon, CHU Henri Mondor, Créteil, France) and AB1 ([16] kind gift of Dr. Luc Willem, University of Liège) cells were cultured in MPM medium (DMEM/F12 supplemented with 15% FCS, 0.4 μg/ml hydrocortisone, 10 mg/ml EGF, 1% l-Glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% ITS, 100 μM β-Mercaptoethanol, 1 mM Pyruvate). All cell lines were cultured in a humidified incubator (at 37 °C with 5% CO2), passed every 2–4 days. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates and after 24 h were treated with 25 nM 5-Aza-2′-deoxycytidine (5-Aza-CdR) (Selleckchem, Cat No.S1200), 1 μM Ruxolitinib (Selleckchem, Cat No.S1378) or DMSO as mock. To determine response to type-I IFN, cells were exposed to 60U/ml Recombinant Mouse IFN-beta Protein (R&D Systems (biotechne), Cat No. 8234-MB) for 24 h. Blocking IFN-α/β receptor 1 (Ifnar1) was achieved using anti-IFN-αR1 (10 μg/ml, MAR1-5A3 antibodies, sc-53591L, RRID: AB_783928) and comparing to mouse isotype control (10 μg/ml, Cat.# MABF1081Z, Mouse IgG1-k Negative control, clone MOPC-21, RRID: AB_2828024).

In order to down-regulate mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (Mavs) expression, ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool siRNAs against Mavs or siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA pool #2 were transfected with DharmaFECT 1 transfection reagent were obtained from Dharmacon. siRNA dissolved in 1X siRNA buffer (Dharmacon) was combined with transfection reagent dissolved in OptiMEM (final concentration 0.84%) and incubated for 20 min. Then, cells resuspended in normal growth medium were added to the siRNA/DharmaFECT 1 mixture and seeded onto plates, allowing for a final siRNA concentration of 10 nM. 0.8 × 10^5 cells (12-well plate) were plated for whole cell protein lysates as well as RNA extraction 72 h later.

### 2.2. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and RT-qPCR

0.5 μg of total RNA was extracted from cells using RNeasy isolation kit (QIAGEN, Cat No.74106) and reverse-transcribed using the Quantitect Reverse Transcription Kit (QIAGEN, Cat No.205311) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Synthesized cDNA was diluted 1:60 and used for real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). SYBR green (Thermo Fisher, Cat No.4367659) and gene specific primers (sequences listed in Additional file1: Table S1)
were used for PCR amplification and detection on a 7500 FAST Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific) or QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System. Relative mRNA levels were determined by comparing the PCR cycle thresholds between cDNA of a specific gene and beta actin or Tubulin Beta 4A Class IVa (ΔCt).

2.3. DsRNA digestion by RNase III

Purified 5 μg total RNA from RN5 cells was subjected to digestion with 0.2 μg RNase III (AMBION, Cat. No. AM2290) in a total volume of 50 μl at 37 °C for 10 min according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Afterwards, 50 μg/ml proteinase K in 10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.8)/0.5% SDS was added to the sample to terminate the RNase III digestion and incubated 10 min at 56 °C. RNA was subsequently purified using the Qiazol and miRNAeasy mini kit (QIAGEN Cat No. 217004) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.4. DsRNA pull-down

8 μg of purified RNA was added to 200 μl freshly prepared IP buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 180 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, and 1 mM EDTA), supplemented with 20 U/ml RNase inhibitor. Pre-clearing of the unspecific binding was done by incubating the 8 μg RNA in IP buffer with 20 μl Protein A Sepharose (Bio Visio Cat.No.6501–5) for 30 min at 4 °C. After centrifugation (14,000 g at 4 °C for 5 min), 95 ng RNA was taken from the supernatant to determine input. The remaining supernatant was then equally divided into two parts, one for the anti-dsRNA antibody J2 (SCICONs, clone J2, No.10010200, RRID: AB_2651015) and the other for the IgG control of the same isotype. 1 μg of J2 or IgG antibody was added into the supernatant, followed by incubation overnight on a rotating wheel at 4 °C. 10 μl of Protein A Sepharose was then added into each reaction, followed by incubation for another 3 h at 4 °C. Next, the beads were collected and washed with 800 μl pre-chilled washing buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) for 5 times. Finally, the co-precipitated RNA on the beads were purified using Qiazol and miRNAeasy kit as above.

2.5. DsRNA analysis by J2 staining and flow-cytometry

25 × 10^3 MEF and 30 × 10^3 RN5 cells were seeded in 6-well plates on day one, treated with 5-Aza-CdR on day 2 and were collected on day 4 (RN5) or day 7 (MEF). During collection, cells in culture with or without 5-Aza-CdR treatment were trypsinized, washed with PBS and fixed with 4% formaldehyde diluted in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Saponin, 0.1% BSA in PBS for 30 min. Primary antibodies (anti-dsRNA (J2)) or normal mouse IgG2a (Iso) (Abcam, Cat No. Ab18414) were diluted 1:40 in 0.1% Saponin/PBS and incubated with the cells for 30 min in the dark on ice. Secondary Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H + L) conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher, Cat No. A11001) were diluted 1:200 in 0.1% Saponin/PBS and incubated with the cells for 30 min in the dark on ice. Secondary Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H + L) conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher, Cat No. A11001) were diluted 1:200 in 0.1% Saponin/PBS and incubated with the cells for 30 min on ice. Cells were washed twice with 0.1% Saponin/PBS and were then ready for measurement. Data were acquired with a FACS Attune flow cytometer. Data were analyzed in Attune Cytometric Software.

2.6. Protein extraction, cell fractionation and Western blotting

Total protein extracts were obtained by lysing the cells with hot Laemmli buffer (60 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 100 mM DTT, 5% glycerol, 1.7% SDS) and passed through syringes (26G) [17]. A total of 5 μg protein extract was separated on denaturing 15% SDS-PAGE gels and proteins were transferred onto PVDF membranes (0.45 μm, Perki- nElmer, Waltham, MA). Membranes were probed with the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-phospho-Irf3 (Ser396, D6O1M, CST #29047, RRID: AB_2773013), rabbit anti-Irf3 (D83B9, CST #4302, RRID: AB_1904036), rabbit anti-Iftim1 (NovusBio NB1P-77171, RRID: AB_11010388), rabbit anti-Rig-I (D14G6, CST#3743 RRID: AB_2269233), mouse anti-Navs (C-1, Santa Cruz sc-365333 RRID: AB_10844335), and mouse anti-β-actin (C4, MP Biomedicals MP691002 RRID: AB_2335127). Membranes were then incubated with one of the following secondary antibodies: rabbit anti-mouse IgG-HRP (no. A9004) or goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (no. A0545), obtained from Sigma Aldrich. The signals were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (Clarity TM ECL Substrate, BioRad, Hercules, CA) using Fusion Digital Imager (Vilber Lourmat, Marne-la-Vallée, France). Quantification was done using ImageJ software.

Cytosolic and nuclear protein extracts were isolated from RN5 cells as previously described [18] using the NE-PERTM Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Kit (78833, Pierce Biotechnology) according to manufacturer’s instructions and nuclear vs cytosolic purity controls were assessed by probing with anti-PARP (Cell Signaling #9542, RRID: AB_2160739) and with anti-tubulin (Santa-Cruz sc-8035 RRID: AB_628408) antibodies, respectively.

2.7. Genomic DNA extraction from cells and mice tissues

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from 0.5 to 1 Mio MEF and RN5 cells using the DNeasy Blood&tissue kit (QIAGEN, Cat No.69504) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

The tissue used for analysis has been described in our previous study where mice had been exposed to sham or crocidolite (blue asbestos) [9]. Briefly, B6;129S2-N2fim1Tg/J mice backcrossed for >6 generations on a C57Bl/6J genetic background were exposed to crocidolite asbestos (400 μg/mouse) or with saline (sham) every 3 weeks for a total of eight rounds (i.e. a total of 3.2 mg of crocidolite per mouse). Mice were sacrificed 33 weeks after the first crocidolite injection. gDNA extraction from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues from sham or crocidolite exposed mice was performed as follows: defined area of tissues (mesothelium, tumor) were scratched from slides (thickness ~ 20 μm, diameter ~ 2 mm) using #10 blade and transferred into a 2 ml tube. 1 ml xylene was added to dissolve the paraffin, and then washed 3 times with 100% EtOH. Tissue was resuspended in 180 μl ATL Buffer followed by addition of 20 μl proteinase K and incubation at 56 °C, 450 rpm, until the tissue was completely lysed. Then 180 μl ATL Buffer + 20 μl proteinase K were added again followed by another 5–10 min incubation at 56 °C. After vigorous vortexing to homogenize the mixture 800 μg RNase A were added and incubated for 2 min at room temperature. Afterwards, gDNA was extracted using the “DNeasy Blood & Tissue” kit (QIAGEN, Cat No.69504) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Due to limited availability of samples all crocidolite (tumor and inflamed mesothelium) were pooled and compared to samples from sham treated mice.

2.8. Bisulfite treatment for gDNA and qMSP

To perform methylation studies, gDNA was subjected to sodium bisulfite treatment performed using the EZ DNA Methylation Gold™ Kit (Zymo Research, Cat No. D5005 & D5006) according to manufacturer’s instructions, with an extra step of incubating the samples for 7 min at 95°C before adding CT Conversion Reagent solution. Measurement was performed by quantitative methylation specific PCR (qMSP). Methylated (“M”) - and unmethylated (“U”) -specific primers (Additional file1: Table S1) were designed within CpG islands surrounding the promoter of “MesoERV7” by using the online platform MethPrimer [19] as per standard qMSP design guidelines. Commercial methylated mouse DNA (ZYMO RESEARCH, Cat No.D5012) was used to generate absolute methylation standard curve by performing bisulfite conversion and qMSP with “M” primers. Use of “U” primers on serially diluted demethylated DNA resulted in a curve parallel to methylation standard curve. The copy number of methylated and unmethylated sequences for “MesoERV7” promoter were both established by extrapolation from the standard curve. The percentage of methylation was defined as the ratio
between methylated molecules and the sum of methylated and unme-
thylated molecules [20]. End point PCR products were run on a 4% agarose gel and visualized under UV 365 nM (VILBER LOURMAT, serial No.13200087). Sequencing confirmed that the "M" primers recognized a fully methylated product, while the "U" primers recognized a fully demethylated product in gDNA from mesothelioma cells.

2.12. Statistical analysis

AB_2198300 ) antibodies. Primary antibody was omitted in control.

3. Results

3.1. RNA editing activity and ERV expression increases upon mesothelioma development

In our previous study [9] mesothelioma development was investigated in mice which were repeatedly injected with crocidolite or sham

intra-peritoneally over a time course of 21 weeks. Mice were sacrificed at 33 weeks, 12 weeks after the last crocidolite exposure, to collect mesothelioma and mesothelioma tissue for RNA-seq analysis. The sacrificed mice corresponded to three groups: sham, crocidolite-exposed mice with pre-neoplastic lesions, and crocidolite-exposed mice bearing tumors. The RNA isolation, library generation, and RNA-seq analysis pipelines are previously described [9]. RNA-seq data are deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive, accession no PRJEB15230. RNA-seq reads were pre-processed using fastp (0.20.0). Sequencing adapters and low quality ends (averaged quality lower than 20 in a sliding window of 4 bp) were trimmed. Trimmed reads with average quality above 20 and length longer than 50 bp were aligned to the mouse reference genome (UCSC mm10) using STAR (2.7.3a) with one pass mode. PCR duplicates were marked using Picard (2.18.0). Primary alignments were extracted using samtools (1.3.1) and were used for computing the A to G index by applying the python package RNAEditingIndexer (https://github.com/a2iEditing/RNAEditingIndexer).

2.9. Methods for computing the A to G index

As previously described, mice were repeatedly injected intra-

2.10. ERV analysis

For analysis of transposable elements (TE) expression, TEtranscripts [21] was used to obtain TE counts and perform differential expression analysis using DESeq2. TE loci were considered to be significantly differentially expressed when the adjusted p-values were <0.01, and where the log2 of the fold change was >1 for upregulated loci and < −1 for downregulated loci. ERV sequences were downloaded from Endogenous Viral Elements (EVE) database (http://geve.med.u-tokai.ac.jp/do

2.11. Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described [9] using rabbit anti-Stat1 (Cell Signaling Technology Cat# 9172, RRID: AB_2198300) antibodies. Primary antibody was omitted in control.

2.12. Statistical analysis

The figures represent the mean values from at least three independent experiments. Paired and unpaired t-test, Mann–Whitney, Kruskal Wallis or one-way ANOVA tests were used and have been specified when used. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 8 (Graphpad 8.0.0).

in order to verify the ERV expression, we selected six ERV sequences based on their overall abundance in tumor (>5000 counts) and their enrichment in crocidolite exposed mice compared to sham controls. One ERV sequence, named by “MuLV_pol_U92”, where counts did not differ between crocidolite exposed and sham control mice, was also selected as control (Fig 2A). Within the mesothelioma enriched ERV sequences, we observed that four of them shared 90 bp, which are located in twenty-one genomic regions, but only twelve are expressed in mouse meso-
thelioma samples (Fig 2B and Additional file1: Table S4). We defined these sequences “MesoERV1-12”. They belong to the ERV1 family. Both “MesoERV1-12” and “MuLV_pol_U92” were used to design q-PCR primers to validate the selection (Fig 2C).

Then we tested the basal “MesoERV1-12” and “MuLV_pol_U92” expression in Mouse Embryonic Fibroblasts (MEF), and in mouse me-
thelioma RNR5 and AK7 (C57BL/6 genetic background, like RNR5 cells, used as surrogate for normal tissue versus mesothelioma, respectively. We found that the “MesoERV1-12” expression in mesothelioma cells is significantly higher than in MEF, but there is no difference between MEF and mesothelioma cells for “MuLV_pol_U92” expression, supporting the correlation between “MesoERV1-12” activation and mesothelioma development (Fig 2D). High levels of expression of “MesoERV1-12” was also observed in AB1 (BALB/c genetic background) mesothelioma cells (Additional file2: Fig S2), reinforcing the concept of increased ERV expression in mesothelioma. Reasons for differences of expression levels between different strains may include known spontaneous germine mutations due to transposable elements [29], which is supported also by differences in “MuLV_pol_U92” between the different strains.
Fig. 1. Increase in levels of RNA editing and endogenous retroviral sequences (ERV) expression during mesothelioma development. (A) Experimental scheme for mouse model of mesothelioma development: 6–8-week-old C57Bl/6J mice were exposed to crocidolite i.p. (400 μg/mouse) every 3 weeks with 8 treatments in total. Thirty-three weeks after initial exposure to crocidolite mice were sacrificed to collect tissues and tumor tissue [9]. (B) A to G index, which reflects ADAR-dependent RNA editing activity, increases in crocidolite (blue asbestos) exposed mice experimental model (***) P < 0.001, Mann-Whitney test. (C) Nucleotides enriched close to editing sites. The height of the nucleotide indicates either the degree of overrepresentation (above the line) or the degree of underrepresentation (below the line). (D) Pie charts showing upregulated vs downregulated transposable elements (TEs) loci. (E) LTR loci up or downregulated in crocidolite vs sham or crocidolite tumor vs crocidolite. Significance was defined by padj < 0.01 and abs (log2Fold changes) > 1. Results are shown for loci within the stated LTR families. Ns = expression not significantly changed (F) Expression of ERV sequences increases in tumors induced by crocidolite (ORF: correspond to nucleotide or amino acid sequence that may not start with an ATG codon (nucleotide) or methionine (amino acid)) (***) P < 0.01, Mann-Whitney test. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
In order to investigate whether “MesoERV1-12” and “MuLV-pol_U92” form dsRNA, total RNA was digested with RNaseIII (dsRNA cleavage enzyme) before cDNA synthesis and q-PCR. Treatment with RNaseIII significantly decreased “MesoERV1-12” detection (Fig. 2E) supporting the hypothesis that “MesoERV1-12” form dsRNA structures. This was also confirmed by RNA pull-down experiments using J2 anti-dsRNA antibody [30] which allowed enrichment of “MesoERV1-12” transcripts (Fig. 2F) in AK7 and RNS mesothelioma cells.

3.2. Inhibition of DNMT leads to increased ERV and dsRNA expression in MEF cells

Next we aimed at investigating whether promoter demethylation was a possible cause for increased “MesoERV1-12” expression in mesothelioma, especially because, as previously mentioned, increased ERV expression has been observed after treatment with DNMT inhibitors [27]. In order to set up the experimental condition for the analysis of ERV promoter methylation, we designed an experiment based on our knowledge that “MesoERV1-12” is differentially expressed in MEF vs RNS cells. DNA demethylation was induced in MEF by treatment with 5-Aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-Aza-CdR), which is a DNMT inhibitor. Consistent with our hypothesis, this treatment significantly increased ERV expression in MEF, while “MuLV_pol_U92” remained at the same level (Fig. 3A and B). This was accompanied by a significant increase in dsRNA determined by using J2 [30] anti-dsRNA staining by flow cytometry (Fig. 3C) and RNaseIII digestion (Additional file2: Fig. S3).

Interestingly, although “MesoERV1-12” levels are high in RNS and AK7 cells, their expression could be further increased by treatment with 5-Aza-CdR (Fig. 3D and E) and was accompanied by increased dsRNA levels (Fig. 3F).

3.3. Type I interferon signaling is activated in mesothelioma cells

dsRNA is part of the molecular patterns activating type-I IFN response, therefore we revisited the RNA-seq data from our previous study in crocidolite-exposed mice to investigate ISGs [31]. We applied the same criteria as in our previous analysis [9] (p < 0.01, FDR < 0.012 and fold-change threshold higher than two-fold.). We observed, in addition to Adar1, an ISG that we have already described as significantly increased [9], 26 ISGs with an expression higher in tumor samples when compared to the samples from crocidolite-exposed mice with no tumor (Additional file1: Table S5). We then investigated the association of the expression of these genes with clinical outcome in mesothelioma patients of the TCGA [6] study and found that overexpression of 6 ISGs is associated with best overall survival (Additional file2: Fig. S4), consistent with patients who had a type-I IFN profile and showed a better clinical outcome [8].

We validated the increase of 2 of these genes, DEAD (Asp-GluAla-Asp) box polyproteintype 58 (Ddx58) and interferon induced transmembrane protein 1 (Ifitm1) in samples from asbestos-exposed mice (Fig. 4A). Activation of IFN signaling is further supported by Stat1 nuclear immunoreactivity present in these tissues (Additional file2: Fig. S5). In order to assess whether differential “MesoERV1-12” expression between MEF and mesothelioma cells is associated with a differential type-I IFN signaling activation, we determined that Rig-1 and Ifitm1 expression levels are higher in mesothelioma RNS and AK7 cells when
compared to MEF (Fig. 4E). A basal activation of type-I IFN signaling was confirmed in RN5 cells by nuclear localization of Irf3 (Additional file2: Fig. S7).

To verify the involvement of dsRNA sensing we silenced mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (Mavs), which is downstream of the activation of dsRNA sensors Rig-I and melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5. Silencing Mavs in RN5 mesothelioma cells resulted in a significant decrease of Rig-I and Ifitm1 (Fig. 4F).

Treatment of RN5 mesothelioma cells with Ruxolitinib, a JAK1/2 inhibitor blocking the type-I IFN signaling, or with an IFNAR1 (IFN-α/β receptor 1)-blocking antibody resulted in decreased levels of Rig-I and Ifitm1 (Fig. 5A and B).

Treatment of MEF with Ruxolitinib, decreased both basal and 5-Aza-CdR-induced levels of Ddx58, and Ifitm1 (Fig. 5C).
Fig. 4. Type-I IFN signaling increases upon DNA-methyltransferase inhibitor treatment in MEF and is high in RN5 and AK7 mouse mesothelioma cells. (A) The expression of interferon stimulated genes (ISG) DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 58 (Ddx58) and interferon induced transmembrane protein 1 (Ifitm1) increased in the asbestos-exposed samples (*) P < 0.05, (**) P < 0.01, unpaired t-test. (B) The expression of Rig-I and Ifitm1 increased upon 5-Aza-CdR treatment in MEF. (*) P < 0.05, (**) P < 0.01, paired t-test. (C) The expression of type-I interferon beta (Ifnβ1) was increased upon 5-Aza-CdR treatment in MEF. (*) P < 0.05, paired t-test. (D) Phospho-Irf3 levels increased upon 5-Aza-CdR treatment in MEF. (E) The basal expression level of ISGs proteins in RN5 and AK7 cell lines is higher compared to MEF. (F) Silencing mitochondrial antiviral signaling (Mavs) decreased Ddx58, Ifitm1 levels and their encoded proteins in RN5 mesothelioma cells. (**) P < 0.01, (****) P < 0.0001, paired t-test.
Altogether this data indicates that increased ISGs expression is dependent on type-I IFN receptor signaling.

3.4. Promoter methylation status decreases after 5-Aza-CdR treatment in RNS/MEF cells and in crocidolite exposed mice

In order to confirm that the increase in “MesoERV1-12” expression observed upon treatment with 5-Aza-CdR is due to promoter methylation, MesoERV promoter upon mesothelioma development. (A) Steps to design the primers “M” and “U” to analyze the methylation status of “MesoERV7” promoter. “M”: the pair of primers for CpGs methylated promoter sequence. “U”: the pair of primers for CpGs unmethylated promoter sequence. (B) CpGs in the selected “MesoERV7” promoter fragment of 160 bp used for methylation analysis. (C) The fraction of “MesoERV7” promoter methylation decreased after 5-Aza-CdR treatment of RNS cells. (*) P < 0.05, paired t-test. (D) Paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues of sham (left panel) or crocidolite (right panel) exposed mice. Mesothelium layer and asbestos fibers are indicated with an arrow. (E) The crocidolite exposed mice samples have more unmethylated CpGs in “MesoERV7” promoter. (*) P < 0.05, Mann Whitney test.
demethylation, we first identified (Fig. 6A) “MesoERV7” as the best target region based on the analysis of CpG islands (Additional file2: Fig. 5A). With the help of an online platform MethPrimer [19] we designed methylation-specific primer “M” and unmethylation-specific primer “U” for a 160 bp region of the promoter of “MesoERV7”, which has 9 CpG sites (Fig. 6B), shown to be methylated using whole genome bisulfite sequencing (Additional file2: Fig. S8b) [32]. These primers were used on sodium bisulfite treated DNA, where all methyl-free cytosines are converted into uracils, whereas methylated cytosines remain unchanged allowing the use of quantitative methylation specific PCR (qMSP).

In RN5 cells, “MesoERV7” promoter methylation decreased significantly upon 5-Aza-CdR treatment (Fig. 6C). Low levels of basal demethylation rendered impossible to perform qMSP measurement in MEF, because the U primers were producing two additional major fragments. However, the demethylated “MesoERV7” promoter fragment was increased after 5-Aza-CdR treatment (Additional file2: Fig. S9), confirming that epigenetic silencing controls “MesoERV7” expression.

gDNA was extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues from sham or crocidolite exposed mice (Fig. 6D). We performed the same bisulfite treatment as above followed by qMSP and observed that “MesoERV7” promoter is significantly more methylated in tissues from crocidolite exposed mice compared to sham mice (Fig. 6E). Low effect size is possibly due to contaminating non-tumor cells.

Altogether our data suggest that during mesothelioma development demethylation events trigger ERV expression, thereby increasing dsRNA levels able to activate type-I IFN.

4. Discussion

In this study we report that mesothelioma development is associated with increased expression of ERV forming dsRNA, thereby leading to type-I IFN activation in the absence of viral pathogens.

Our observations are consistent with mesothelioma being a cancer highly enriched for the 38-ISG signature not always justified by the signature (ADAR1, OASL, ISG15, RSAD2, ISG20, IFIT2, MX1 and IFIT3) with increased expression of ERV forming dsRNA, thereby leading to type-I IFN activation in the absence of viral pathogens. Of all non-coding dsRNA forming potential targets, ERV upregulation [52] implicates “MesoERV7” as a potential downstream target of the ERV signature (Additional file2: Fig. S10). Second, we observed increased expression of these ERV sequences upon treatment with cytosine demethylation drugs, which is in line with the known role of Adar1 as a negative regulator of type-I IFN signaling [33,34]. Of all non-coding dsRNA forming potential targets, we focused on the analysis of ERV for several reasons. First, ERV have been observed in the cohort with worst outcome [37]. Increased expression of dsRNA and ISGs upon treatment with cyto- toxic concentration of demethylating agents had already been observed in p53-deficient but not p53 wt-MEF [38], while in our study these events could be detected in p53 wt-MEF. Possible reasons for this difference are the use of lower concentrations of 5-Aza-CdR in our study. The advantage of using p53 wt-MEF is to avoid confounding factors, since p53 deficient-MEF have a basal activation of the innate immune system compared to p53 wt-MEF due to increased levels of cytosolic dsRNA deriving from mitochondrial DNA [39].

Epigenetic events occur at early stages during mesothelioma development, as documented by increased levels of DNA methylation at the ink4a locus after mouse exposure to asbestos [43]. The ink4a locus encodes for a tumor suppressor frequently inactivated in mesothelioma (reviewed in Ref. [11]). In the current study, we describe hypomethylation of a selected ERV promoter associated with increased ERV expression and generation of dsRNA. Consequently, stimulation of type-I IFN pathway is observed. Interestingly in the TCGA study [6] both type-I IFN signaling and methylation status are associated with the status of BRCA1-associated protein (BAP1), a tumor suppressor gene, which is also frequently inactivated in mesothelioma (reviewed in Ref. [11]) however the underlying mechanisms are not clear. Nevertheless, we recently described that Measle Virus (MV)-resistant cell lines showed a significantly lower BAP1 expression than MV-sensitive cell lines [44] and a recent analysis of TCGA public available data revealed a negative correlation between BAP1 expression and a constitutively activated type-I IFN response [45].

ERV sequences are part of embryonically active elements, which are often hypomethylated, thereby de-repressed, in cancer, and a recent analysis of TCGA data has revealed upregulation of ERV sequences in several cancer types [46]. Indeed, DNA methylation at CpG along with histone modification constitutes the major mechanism of transcriptional control of ERVs (reviewed in Ref. [47]). We observed downregulation of Dnmt3a and upregulation of Tet3, Dmnt, Dnmt3b and Dnmt3l in tumors tissue (Additional file2: Fig. S11). Dnmt3l associates with Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b to stimulate their enzymatic activities [48]. Our observation is consistent with the knowledge that DNMT1 and DNMT3B but not DNMT3A have an essential role in cancer cells [49,50] and the observation that silencing of DNMT1 and DNMT3B resulted in inhibition of mesothelioma cell growth [51]. In addition, more recently E3 ubiquitin ligases UHRF1/2 have been shown to negatively regulate DNMT3A as mechanism for widespread DNA hypomethylation in cancer resulting in ERV upregulation [52]. UHRF1 is upregulated in mesothelioma and it is upregulated in normal mesothelial cells after exposure to crocidolite [53]. Both Uhrf1 and Uhrf2 are upregulated in mouse tumor tissue (Additional file2: Fig. S11), suggesting a possible implication of these enzymes in ERV upregulation.

In mammals, CpG methylation is initiated by the de novo methyltransferases including DNMT3A, 3B and is perpetuated across mitosis by the maintenance DNA methyltransferase DNMT1. DNA methylation occurs passively during DNA replication or actively via demethylation by ten-eleven translocations (TET) enzymes, which catalyze oxidation of 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, 5-formylcytosine, and 5-carboxylcytosine [54]. In an experimental model of mesothelioma development after rats exposure to asbestos, a significant decrease in expression of DNMT3A and 3B has been observed accompanied by increased levels of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine [55], which is consistent with our observation that epigenetic events increase ERV expression. Altogether these observations suggest that it might be worth
investigating circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) methylation and hydroxymethylation in plasma for early mesothelioma detection as shown for other cancers [56,57].

Other examples of genes demethylated in mesothelioma as compared to normal tissue are tumor-associated antigens [58] and their expression can be increased after treatment with hypomethylating drugs including in mesothelioma patients [17,58–60]. One of these studies was performed by in vivo testing of epigenetic drugs in an experimental syngeneic model using AK7 mouse mesothelioma cells, and we show in our study that these cells also express “MesoERV1-12”. In the in vivo study, treatment with 5-Aza-CdR showed an effect on lymphocyte aggregation and it is possible that increased expression of type-I IFN signaling was a contributing factor along with increased expression of tumor-associated antigens.

This is the first study demonstrating that increased ADAR-dependent editing observed during mesothelioma development is associated with demethylation-induced expression of ERV able to form dsRNA, thereby activating type-I IFN signaling. In the broader context of mesothelioma, our observations that “MesoERV1-12” expression increases upon mesothelioma development opens a novel perspective not explored in the current study. Indeed, it is known that high level of transcription of several ERV loci promotes the expression of long noncoding RNAs [61], which appear important in controlling cell identity [62,63]. Future studies should address this issue. In addition, it is likely that ERV promoter demethylation and expression is part of a much larger demethylation-induced production of dsRNA forming non-coding sequences such as SINE and satellite DNA [38], which remains to be explored, also in the context of early diagnosis.

Our observation is also important for mesothelioma therapy. Indeed, therapeutic approaches exploiting type-I IFN pathway signaling have already been implemented in the clinic [64] or proposed on the basis of preclinical studies [65,66]. Future studies will investigate whether ERV expression could be a predictor of sensitivity to those therapeutic approaches, although it should be taken into account that for those therapies inducing type-I IFN signaling, some mesothelioma have lost the type-I IFN genes [2] and might therefore be unable to activate such signaling.
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